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Abstract: In this paper we show that the polynomial structure of the topological string partition function found by Yamaguchi and Yau for the quintic holds for an arbitrary Calabi-Yau manifold with any number of moduli. Furthermore, we generalize these results to the open topological string partition function as discussed recently by Walcher and reproduce his results for the real quintic.
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## 1. Introduction and summary

The holomorphic anomaly equation of the topological string [1] , 2] relates the antiholomorphic derivative of the genus $g$ topological string partition function $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ with covariant derivatives of the partition functions of lower genus. This enables one to recursively determine the partition function at each genus up to a holomorphic ambiguity which has to be fixed by further information. A complete understanding of the holomorphic anomaly equation and its recursive procedure to determine the partition functions at every genus might lead to new insights in the understanding of the structure of the full topological string partition function $Z=\exp \left(\sum \lambda^{2 g-2} \mathcal{F}^{(g)}\right)$. For example in [3], Witten interpreted $Z$ as a wave function for the quantization of the space $\mathrm{H}^{3}(X, \mathbb{R})$ of a Calabi-Yau $X$ and the holomorphic anomaly equation as the background independence of this wave function. In (4], Yamaguchi and Yau discovered that the non-holomorphic part of the topological string partition function for the quintic can be written as a polynomial in a finite number of generators. This improves the method using Feynman rules proposed in [2]. This polynomial structure was used in [5] to solve the quintic up to genus 51 and was applied to other Calabi-Yau manifolds with one modulus in [5], [6].

The first aim of this paper is to generalize the polynomial structure of the topological string partition function discovered in [4] to an arbitrary Calabi-Yau manifold with any number of moduli. ${ }^{1}$ A related method for integrating the holomorphic anomaly equation using modular functions was presented in [8, (9].

[^0]Recently, an extension of the holomorphic anomaly equation which includes the open topological string was proposed by Walcher [10]. Its solution in terms of Feynman rules was proven soon after in (11. The second task of this paper is to extend Yamaguchi and Yau's polynomial construction to the open topological string. We recently learned at the Simons Workshop in Mathematics and Physics 2007 that a similar generalization for the open topological string on the quintic will appear in (12].

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In the next section we briefly review the extended holomorphic anomaly equation and the initial correlation functions at low genus and number of holes which will be the starting point of the recursive procedure. Next we introduce the polynomial generators of the non-holomorphic part of the partition functions and show that holomorphic derivatives thereof can again be expressed in terms of these generators. As the initial correlation functions are expressions in these generators we will have thus shown that at every genus the partition functions will be again expressions in the generators. Afterwards we assign some grading to the generators and show that $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}$, the partition function at genus $g$, with $h$ holes and $n$ insertions, will be a polynomial of degree $3 g-3+3 h / 2+n$ in the generators. Finally, we determine the polynomial recursion relations and argue that, by a change of generators, the number of generators can be reduced by one. In order to solve the holomorphic anomaly equation it now suffices to make the most general ansatz of the right degree in the generators for the partition function and use the recursion relation to match the coefficients. This procedure allows to determine the partition function up to some holomorphic ambiguity in every step. In the third section we apply our method to the real quintic and give the polynomial expressions for the partition functions and reproduce some recent results.

Some subtleties of our approach still require further investigations, most of these are related to parametrizing the holomorphic ambiguities. There is a freedom in determining the holomorphic part of the generators which changes the complexity of the holomorphic ambiguity at every step. For the closed string part of the quintic we fixed the holomorphic part of the generators as in [13], the ambiguities in the partition functions are then polynomials in the inverse discriminant. The ansatz for these polynomials can be deduced in order to reproduce the right behaviour of the partition function at special points in the moduli space. It would be interesting to further understand the structure of the holomorphic part of the partition function and find out whether there is some systematic way to completely determine the topological string partition function.

After we finished this paper a generalization of the holomorphic anomaly equations for the open topological string appeared in [14].

## 2. Polynomial structure of topological string partition functions

### 2.1 Holomorphic anomaly

In this paper we consider the open topological string with branes as in 10. The B-model on a Calabi-Yau manifold $X$ depends on the space $\mathcal{M}$ of complex structures parametrized by coordinates $z^{i}, i=1, \ldots, h^{1,2}(X)$. More precisely, the topological string partition function $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ at genus $g$ with $h$ boundaries is a section of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{2-2 g-h}$ over $\mathcal{M}$ (10).

The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ may be identified with the bundle of holomorphic (3,0)-forms $\Omega$ on $X$ with first Chern class $G_{i \bar{j}}=\partial_{i} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{j}} K$. Here $K$ is the Kähler potential and $G_{i \bar{j}}$ the Kähler metric. Under Kähler transformations $K \rightarrow K\left(z^{i}, \bar{z}^{\bar{j}}\right)-\ln \phi\left(z^{i}\right)-\ln \bar{\phi}\left(\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}\right), \Omega \rightarrow \phi \Omega$ and more generally a section $f$ of $\mathcal{L}^{n} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{L}}^{\bar{n}}$ transforms as $f \rightarrow \phi^{n} \overline{\phi^{\bar{n}}} f$.

The fundamental objects of the topological string are the holomorphic three point couplings at genus zero $C_{i j k}$ which can be integrated to the genus zero partition function $F_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j k}=D_{i} D_{j} D_{k} F_{0}, \quad \bar{\partial}_{i} C_{i j k}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the disk amplitudes with two bulk insertions $\Delta_{i j}$ which are symmetric in the two indices but not holomorphic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \Delta_{i j}=-C_{i j k} \Delta_{\bar{i}}^{k}, \quad \Delta_{\bar{i}}^{k}=\Delta_{\overline{i j}} \mathrm{e}^{K} G^{k \bar{j}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Delta_{\bar{i} j}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\Delta_{i j}$ and $D_{i}=\partial_{i}+\cdots=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\ldots$ denotes the covariant derivative on the bundle $\mathcal{L}^{m} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{n} T^{*}$ where $m$ and $n$ follow from the context. $T^{*}$ is the cotangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$ with the standard connection coefficients $\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=G^{i \bar{i}} \partial_{j} G_{k \bar{i}}$. The connection on the bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is given by the first derivatives of the Kähler potential $K_{i}=\partial_{i} K .{ }^{2}$

The correlation function at genus $g$ with $h$ boundaries and $n$ insertions $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}$ is only non-vanishing for $(2 g-2+h+n)>0$. They are related by taking covariant derivatives as this represents insertions of chiral operators in the bulk, e.g. $D_{i} \mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}=\mathcal{F}_{i i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}$.

Furthermore, in 10] it is shown that the genus $g$ partition function with $h$ holes is recursively related to lower genus partition functions and to partition functions with less boundaries. This is expressed for $(2 g-2+h)>0$ by an extension of the holomorphic anomaly equations of BCOV (2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}=\frac{1}{2} \bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{j k} \sum_{\substack{g_{1}+g_{2}=g \\ h_{1}+h_{2}=h}} D_{j} \mathcal{F}^{\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right)} D_{k} \mathcal{F}^{\left(g_{2}, h_{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{j k} D_{j} D_{k} \mathcal{F}^{(g-1, h)}-\Delta_{\bar{i}}^{j} D_{j} \mathcal{F}^{(g, h-1)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}_{\bar{k}}^{i j}=\bar{C}_{\bar{i} \bar{j} \bar{k}} G^{i \bar{i}} G^{j \bar{j}} \mathrm{e}^{2 K}, \quad \bar{C}_{\bar{i} \bar{j} \bar{k}}=\overline{C_{i j k}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations, supplemented by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\partial}_{i} \mathcal{F}_{j}^{(1,0)}=\frac{1}{2} C_{j k l} C_{\bar{i}}^{k l}+\left(1-\frac{\chi}{24}\right) G_{j \bar{i}},  \tag{2.5}\\
& \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \mathcal{F}_{j}^{(0,2)}=-\Delta_{j k} \Delta_{\bar{i}}^{k}+\frac{N}{2} G_{j \bar{i}} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and special geometry, determine all correlation functions up to holomorhpic ambiguities. In (2.5), $\chi$ is the Euler character of the manifold and in (2.6) $N$ is the rank of a bundle over $\mathcal{M}$ in which the charge zero ground states of the open string live. Similar to the closed

[^1]topological string [2], a solution of the recursion equations is given in terms of Feynman rules. These Feynman rules have been proven for the open topological string in [11.

The propagators for these Feynman rules contain the ones already present for the closed topological string $S, S^{i}, S^{i j}$ and new propagators $\Delta, \Delta^{i}$. Note that these are not the same as the $\Delta$, with or without indices, that appear in [2] which there denote the inverses of the $S$ propagators. $S, S^{i}$ and $S^{i j}$ are related to the three point couplings $C_{i j k}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\bar{i}} S^{i j}=\bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{i j}, \quad \partial_{\bar{i}} S^{j}=G_{i \bar{i}} S^{i j}, \quad \partial_{\bar{i}} S=G_{i \bar{i}} S^{i} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, the propagators $S, S^{i}$ and $S^{i j}$ are sections of the bundles $\mathcal{L}^{-2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{m} T$ with $m=0,1,2 . \Delta$ and $\Delta^{i}$ are related to the disk amplitudes with two insertions by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \Delta^{j}=\Delta_{\bar{i}}^{j}, \quad \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \Delta=G_{i \bar{i}} \Delta^{i} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are sections of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{m} T$ with $m=0,1$. The vertices of the Feynman rules are given by the correlation functions $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}$.

Note that the anomaly equation (2.3), as well as the definitions (2.7) and (2.8), leave the freedom of adding holomorphic functions under the $\bar{\partial}$ derivatives as integration constants. This freedom is referred to as holomorphic ambiguities.

### 2.2 Initial correlation functions

To be able to apply a recursive procedure for solving the holomorphic anomaly equation, we first need to have some initial data to start with. In this case the initial data consists of the first non-vanishing correlation functions. The first non-vanishing correlation functions at genus zero without any boundaries are the holomorphic three point couplings $\mathcal{F}_{i j k}^{(0,0)} \equiv C_{i j k}$. At genus zero with one boundary, the first non-vanishing correlation functions are the disk amplitudes with two insertions. The holomorhpic anomaly equation (2.2) is solved with (2.8) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{i j}^{(0,1)} \equiv \Delta_{i j}=-C_{i j k} \Delta^{k}+g_{i j} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some holomorphic functions $g_{i j}$. Finally we solve (2.5) and (2.6). (2.5) can be integrated wih (2.7) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{i}^{(1,0)}=\frac{1}{2} C_{i j k} S^{j k}+\left(1-\frac{\chi}{24}\right) K_{i}+f_{i}^{(1,0)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ambiguity $f_{i}^{(1,0)}$. For the annulus we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \mathcal{F}_{j}^{(0,2)} & =C_{j k l} \Delta^{l} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \Delta^{k}+\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}}\left(-g_{j k} \Delta^{k}+\frac{N}{2} K_{j}\right) \\
& =\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}}\left(\frac{1}{2} C_{j k l} \Delta^{k} \Delta^{l}-g_{j k} \Delta^{k}+\frac{N}{2} K_{j}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{i}^{(0,2)}=\frac{1}{2} C_{i j k} \Delta^{j} \Delta^{k}-g_{i j} \Delta^{j}+\frac{N}{2} K_{i}+f_{i}^{(0,2)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}^{(0,2)}$ are holomorhpic. As can be seen from these expressions, the nonholomorphicity of the correlation functions only comes from the propagators together with $K_{i}$. Indeed, we will now show that this holds for all partition functions $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$.

### 2.3 Non-holomorphic generators

From the holomorphic anomaly equation and its Feynman rule solution it is clear that at every genus $g$ with $h$ boundaries the building blocks of the partition function $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ are the propagators $S^{i j}, S^{i}, S, \Delta, \Delta^{i}$ and vertices $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)}$ with $g^{\prime}<g$ or $h^{\prime}<h$. Here it will be shown that all the non-holomorphic content of the partition functions $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ can be expressed in terms of a finite number of generators. The generators we consider are the propagators $S^{i j}, S^{i}, S, \Delta^{i}, \Delta$ as well as $K_{i}$, the partial derivative of the Kähler potential. This construction is a generalization of Yamaguchi and Yau's polynomial construction for the quintic [4] where multi derivatives of the connections were used as generators. The propagators of the closed topological string as building blocks were also used recently by Grimm, Klemm, Marino and Weiss (9] for a direct integration of the topological string using modular properties of the big moduli space, where all propagators can be treated on equal footing.

In the following we prove that if the anti-holomorphic part of $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ is expressed in terms of the generators $S^{i j}, S^{i}, S, \Delta^{i}, \Delta$ and $K_{i}$, then all covariant derivatives thereof are also expressed in terms of these generators. As the correlation functions for small genus and small number of boundaries are expressed in terms of the generators, it follows by induction, that all $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ are expressed in terms of the generators.

The covariant derivatives contain the Christoffel connection and the connection $K_{i}$ of $\mathcal{L}$. By integrating the special geometry relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}} \Gamma_{i j}^{l}=\delta_{i}^{l} G_{j \bar{i}}+\delta_{j}^{l} G_{i \bar{i}}-C_{i j k} C_{\bar{i}}^{k l} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j}^{l}=\delta_{i}^{l} K_{j}+\delta_{j}^{l} K_{i}-C_{i j k} S^{k l}+s_{i j}^{l} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i j}^{l}$ denote holomorphic functions that are not fixed by the special geometry relation, we can express the Christoffel connection in terms of our generators. What remains is to show that the covariant derivatives of all generators are again expressed in terms of the generators. To obtain expressions for the covariant derivatives of the generators we first take the anti-holomorphic derivative of the expression, then use (2.13) and write the result as a total anti-holomorphic derivative again, for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\bar{i}}\left(D_{i} S^{j k}\right)=\partial_{\bar{i}}\left(\delta_{i}^{j} S^{k}+\delta_{i}^{k} S^{j}-C_{i m n} S^{m j} S^{n k}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation determines $D_{i} S^{j k}$ up to a holomorphic term. In this manner we obtain the
following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{i} S^{j k} & =\delta_{i}^{j} S^{k}+\delta_{i}^{k} S^{j}-C_{i m n} S^{m j} S^{n k}+h_{i}^{j k},  \tag{2.16}\\
D_{i} S^{j} & =2 \delta_{i}^{j} S-C_{i m n} S^{m} S^{n j}+h_{i}^{j k} K_{k}+h_{i}^{j},  \tag{2.17}\\
D_{i} S & =-\frac{1}{2} C_{i m n} S^{m} S^{n}+\frac{1}{2} h_{i}^{m n} K_{m} K_{n}+h_{i}^{j} K_{j}+h_{i},  \tag{2.18}\\
D_{i} K_{j} & =-K_{i} K_{j}-C_{i j k} S^{k}+C_{i j k} S^{k l} K_{l}+h_{i j},  \tag{2.19}\\
D_{i} \Delta^{j} & =\delta_{i}^{j} \Delta-g_{i k} S^{k j}+g_{i}^{j},  \tag{2.20}\\
D_{i} \Delta & =-g_{i j} S^{j}+g_{i}^{j} K_{j}+g_{i}, \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i}^{j k}, h_{i}^{j}, h_{i}, h_{i j}, g_{i}^{j}$ and $g_{i}$ denote holomorphic functions (ambiguities). This completes our proof that all non-holomorphic parts of $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ can be expressed in terms of the generators. Next, we will determine recursion relations, asign some grading to the generators and show that $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}$ is a polynomial of degree $3 g-3+3 h / 2+n$.

### 2.4 Polynomial recursion relation

Let us now determine some recursion relations from the holomorhpic anomaly equation. Computing the $\bar{\partial}_{\bar{i}}$ derivative of $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ expressed in terms of $S^{i j}, S^{i}, S, \Delta^{i}, \Delta, K_{i}$, and using (2.3) one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{j k} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial S^{j k}}+\Delta_{\bar{i}}^{j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial \Delta^{j}}+G_{i \bar{i}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial K_{i}}+S^{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial S}+S^{i j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial S^{j}}+\Delta^{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial \Delta}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{j k} \sum_{\substack{g_{1}+g_{2}=g \\
h_{1}+h_{2}=h}} D_{j} \mathcal{F}^{\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right)} D_{k} \mathcal{F}^{\left(g_{2}, h_{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{j k} D_{j} D_{k} \mathcal{F}^{(g-1, h)}-\Delta_{\bar{i}}^{j} D_{j} \mathcal{F}^{(g, h-1)} . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming linear independence of $\bar{C}_{\bar{i}}^{j k}, \Delta_{\bar{i}}^{j}$ and $G_{i \bar{i}}$ the equation splits into three equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial S^{i j}} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{g_{1}+g_{2}=g \\
h_{1}+h_{2}=h}} D_{i} \mathcal{F}^{\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right)} D_{j} \mathcal{F}^{\left(g_{2}, h_{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} D_{i} D_{j} \mathcal{F}^{(g-1, h)}  \tag{2.23}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial \Delta^{i}} & =-D_{i} \mathcal{F}^{(g, h-1)}  \tag{2.24}\\
0 & =\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial K_{i}}+S^{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial S}+S^{i j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial S^{j}}+\Delta^{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}}{\partial \Delta} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equation (2.25) can be rephrased as the condition that $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ does not depend explicitly on $K_{i}$ by making a suitable change of generators

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}^{i j} & =S^{i j},  \tag{2.26}\\
\tilde{S}^{i} & =S^{i}-S^{i j} K_{j},  \tag{2.27}\\
\tilde{S} & =S-S^{i} K_{i}+\frac{1}{2} S^{i j} K_{i} K_{j},  \tag{2.28}\\
\tilde{\Delta}^{i} & =\Delta^{i},  \tag{2.29}\\
\tilde{\Delta} & =\Delta-\Delta^{i} K_{i},  \tag{2.30}\\
\tilde{K}_{i} & =K_{i}, \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $\partial \mathcal{F}^{(g, h)} / \partial \tilde{K}_{i}=0$ for $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ as a function of the tilded generators. Let us now asign a grading to the generators and covariant derivatives, which is naturally inherited from the $U(1)$ grading given by the background charge for the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ current inside the twisted $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal algebra. The covariant holomorphic derivatives $D_{i}$ carry charge +1 as they represent the insertion of a chrial operator of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge +1 . As $K_{i}$ is part of the connection, it is natural to asign charge +1 to $K_{i}$. From the definitions (2.7) and (2.8) one may asign the charges $1 / 2,1,3 / 2,2,3$ to the generators $\Delta^{i}, S^{i j}, \Delta, S^{i}, S$, respectively. The correlation functions $\mathcal{F}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{(g, h)}$ for small $g$ and $h$ are a polynomial of degree $3 g-3+3 h / 2+n$ in the generators. By the recursion relations, it immediately follows that this holds for all $g$ and $h$.

## 3. The real quintic

As an example of our polynomial construction of the partition functions $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ we consider the real quintic

$$
X:=\{P(x)=0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}
$$

where $P$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 5 in 5 variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}$ with real coefficients. The real locus

$$
L=\left\{x_{i}=\bar{x}_{i}\right\}
$$

is a Lagrangian submanifold on which the boundary of the Riemann surface can be mapped.
For the closed topological string the polynomial construction was discovered by Yamaguchi and Yau in (4) and has been used in [5] to calculate $\mathcal{F}^{(g, 0)}$ up to $g=51$. The open string case was analyzed in [10, 15] where the real quintic is given as an example for solving the extended holomorphic anomaly equation. We will follow the notation of these two papers.

The mirror quintic has one complex structure modulus, which will be denoted by $z$. To parametrize the holomorphic ambiguities we introduce as a holomorphic generator the inverse of the disrciminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{1-5^{5} z} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Yukawa coupling is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{z z z}=5 P / z^{3} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For computational convenience we use instead of the generators $S^{z z}, S^{z}, S, \Delta^{z}$ and $\Delta$ the generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{z z}=5 P \frac{S^{z z}}{z^{2}}, \quad T^{z}=5 P \frac{S^{z}}{z}, \quad T=5 P S, \quad \mathcal{E}^{z}=P^{1 / 2} \frac{\Delta^{z}}{z} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{E}=P^{1 / 2} \Delta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain explicit forms of the generators we start with the integrated special geometry relation (2.14) and choose similar to 13

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{z z}^{z}=-1 / z \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to cancel the singular term in the holomorhpic limit of $\Gamma_{z z}^{z}$. In the language of [2] this corresponds to a gauge choice of $f=z^{-1 / 2}$ and $v=1$. This choice of holomorhpic ambiguities fixes the propagators $T^{z z}, T^{z}$ and $T$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{z z} & =2 \theta K-z \Gamma_{z z}^{z}-1  \tag{3.5}\\
T^{z} & =(\theta K)^{2}-\theta^{2} K-\frac{1}{4}  \tag{3.6}\\
T & =\left(\frac{1}{5} P-\frac{9}{20}\right)\left(\theta K-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta T^{z}-(P-1) T^{z}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\theta=z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. This choice of generators leads to the following ambiguities in the derivative relations of the generators (2.16)-(2.19)

$$
\begin{align*}
5 P h_{z}^{z z} / z & =-\frac{2}{5} P+\frac{9}{10}  \tag{3.8}\\
5 P h_{z}^{z} & =\frac{1}{5} P-\frac{9}{20}  \tag{3.9}\\
5 P z h_{z} & =-\frac{101}{1250} P+\frac{2241}{20000}  \tag{3.10}\\
z^{2} h_{z z} & =-\frac{1}{4} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

For the open string generators $\mathcal{E}^{z}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ we make the same choice as in 10 by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{z z} & =0 \quad \text { and }  \tag{3.12}\\
g_{z}^{z} & =0 \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}^{z} & =-\frac{1}{5} P^{-1 / 2} z^{2} \Delta_{z z}  \tag{3.14}\\
\mathcal{E} & =-\frac{1}{2}(P-1) \mathcal{E}^{z}+\theta \mathcal{E}^{z}-T^{z z} \mathcal{E}^{z}+(\theta K) \mathcal{E}^{z} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, taking the holomorphic limit of (2.21) we obtain the last ambiguity in the derivative relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
z g_{z}=-\frac{3}{4} z^{1 / 2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we fix the ambiguities for the initial correlation functions (2.9), (2.10) and (2.12) as in 10 and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{2} \mathcal{F}_{z z}^{(0,1)} & =-5 P^{1 / 2} \mathcal{E}^{z}  \tag{3.17}\\
z \mathcal{F}_{z}^{(1,0)} & =\frac{28}{3} \theta K+\frac{1}{2} T^{z z}+\frac{1}{12} P-\frac{13}{6}  \tag{3.18}\\
z \mathcal{F}_{z}^{(0,2)} & =\frac{5\left(\mathcal{E}^{z}\right)^{2}}{2}+\frac{\theta K}{2}+\frac{3 P}{250}-\frac{3}{250} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now straightforward to use our method to determine higher $\mathcal{F}^{(g, h)}$ by writing the most general polynomial of degree $3 g-3+3 h / 2$ in the generators $\tilde{T}^{z z}, \tilde{T}^{z}, \tilde{T}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and using
the polynomial recursion relations. For $\mathcal{F}^{(2,0)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(3,0)}$ the gap condition at the conifold point [5] and the known expressions for the contribution of constant maps is enough to fix the holomorphic ambiguities and we give the explicit expressions in appendix A. For $\mathcal{F}^{(1,1)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(0,3)}$ the vanishing of the first two instanton numbers fixes the ambiguities and read

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{(1,1)} & =\frac{28 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}}{3 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{13 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}}{6 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z} \sqrt{P}}{12}-\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{2 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{9 \sqrt{z} P}{40}+\frac{211 \sqrt{z}}{10}  \tag{3.20}\\
\mathcal{F}^{(0,3)} & =\frac{1887 \sqrt{z}}{2500}+\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}{2 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{3 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}}{250 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{5\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{3}}{6 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{3 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z} \sqrt{P}}{250}-\frac{3 \sqrt{z} P}{625} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

In appendix A we also give the solution of $\mathcal{F}^{(1,2)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(2,1)}$ up to the holomorphic ambiguities. It would be interesting to fix this ambiguities by some further input.

## Acknowledgments

We are indebted to P. Mayr for suggesting the idea of this paper and for many discussions and continuous support. Furthermore we would like to thank I. Sachs and J. Walcher for helpful discussions. Finally, J.D.L. is grateful to the Simons Workshop in Mathematics and Physics 2007 for its stimulating atmosphere. The work of M.A. is supported by the "Studienförderwerk Klaus Murmann" and by the German Excellence Initiative via the programm "Origin and Structure of the Universe". J.D.L. is supported by a DFG Fellowship with contract number LA 1979/1-1 and the SPP-1096 of the DFG.

## A. The polynomials

Using the method described in this work we obtained polynomial expression for the topological string partition functions. In this appendix we give the explicit expressions of some of these polynomials in terms of the transformed generators.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^{(2,0)}= & -\frac{1473}{2000}-\frac{139}{375 P}-\frac{43 P}{9000}+\frac{P^{2}}{1200}+\frac{140 \tilde{T}}{9 P}-\frac{5 \tilde{T}^{z}}{36}+\frac{65 \tilde{T}^{z}}{18 P}-\frac{29 \tilde{T}^{z z}}{450} \\
& +\frac{253 \tilde{T}^{z z}}{900 P}+\frac{13 P \tilde{T}^{z z}}{1440}-\frac{5 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{6 P}+\frac{\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{30}-\frac{29\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{120 P}+\frac{\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{24 P}  \tag{A.1}\\
\mathcal{F}^{(3,0)}= & -\frac{2507719933}{22680000000}-\frac{1208767}{30000000 P^{2}}-\frac{10405909}{90000000 P}-\frac{1936909 P}{2835000000}+\frac{4661 P^{2}}{5040000} \\
& -\frac{29 P^{3}}{90000}+\frac{P^{4}}{25200}+\frac{2021 \tilde{T}}{67500}+\frac{13066 \tilde{T}}{5625 P^{2}}+\frac{23077 \tilde{T}^{2}}{5000 P}-\frac{47 P \tilde{T}}{9000}-\frac{1316 \tilde{T}^{2}}{27 P^{2}}-\frac{12319 \tilde{T}^{z}}{360000} \\
& +\frac{14437 \tilde{T}^{z}}{45000 P^{2}}+\frac{26201 \tilde{T}^{z}}{27000 P}+\frac{1067 P \tilde{T}^{z}}{90000}-\frac{P^{2} \tilde{T}^{z}}{480}-\frac{611 \tilde{T}_{T}^{z}}{27 \tilde{T}^{2}}+\frac{47 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z}}{54 P}+\frac{1603\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{2}}{21600} \\
& -\frac{105539\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{2}}{27000 P^{2}}-\frac{2621\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{2}}{27000 P}-\frac{209\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{3}}{81 P^{2}}-\frac{7573 \tilde{T}^{z z}}{720000}-\frac{10231 \tilde{T}^{z z}}{360000 P^{2}}+\frac{118493 \tilde{T}^{z z}}{2160000 P} \\
& +\frac{48631 P \tilde{T}^{z z}}{4320000}-\frac{4453 P^{2} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{1080000}+\frac{19 P^{3} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{36000}-\frac{611 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{10800}-\frac{11891 \tilde{T}^{z z}}{6750 \tilde{T}^{z}}+\frac{1363 \tilde{T} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{3375 P} \\
& +\frac{2547 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{20000}-\frac{187013 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{135000 P^{2}}-\frac{30983 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{135000 P}-\frac{1613 P \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{72000}+\frac{47 \tilde{T}^{2} \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{9 P^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{3997\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{2} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{2700 P^{2}}+\frac{2719 \tilde{T}^{z} \tilde{T}^{z z}}{5400 P}+\frac{61019\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{1080000}-\frac{385429\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{2160000 P^{2}}-\frac{15577\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{360000 P} \\
& -\frac{48557 P\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{2160000}+\frac{1307 P^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{432000}+\frac{1363 \tilde{T}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{900 P^{2}}-\frac{47 \tilde{T}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{225 P}-\frac{251 \tilde{T}^{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{2700} \\
& -\frac{14857 \tilde{T}^{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{54000 P^{2}}+\frac{26227 \tilde{T}^{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{54000 P}+\frac{293\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{360 P^{2}}-\frac{7123\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{108000} \\
& +\frac{29\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{8100 P^{2}}+\frac{29761\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{216000 P}+\frac{2539 P\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{259200}-\frac{47 \tilde{T}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{180 P^{2}}+\frac{19 \tilde{T}^{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{30 P^{2}} \\
& -\frac{131 \tilde{T}^{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{720 P}+\frac{7\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{4}}{360}+\frac{203\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{4}}{1500 P^{2}}-\frac{3797\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{4}}{36000 P}-\frac{3 \tilde{T}^{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{4}}{20 P^{2}}-\frac{3\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{5}}{40 P^{2}} \\
& +\frac{11\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{5}}{480 P}+\frac{\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{6}}{80 P^{2}}  \tag{A.2}\\
& \mathcal{F}^{(1,2)}=\frac{(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)}{12}-\frac{17\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2}}{120}-\frac{14(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})^{2}}{3 P}-\frac{13(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)}{6 P}-\frac{113\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2}}{120 P}-\frac{211(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \sqrt{z}}{10 \sqrt{P}} \\
& -\frac{71\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{z}}{20 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{9}{40}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \sqrt{z} \sqrt{P}-\frac{9}{80}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{z} \sqrt{P}+\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2} P}{24}+\frac{9}{40}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{z} P^{3 / 2} \\
& +\frac{53 \tilde{T}}{30 P}-\frac{17\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{600}+\frac{71\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{300 P}-\frac{25\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{6 P}-\frac{33\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{5000}+\frac{7\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{24} \\
& -\frac{73\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{10000 P}+\frac{(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{2 P}-\frac{4\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{3 P}+\frac{7 P\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{5000}-\frac{\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{20 P}+\frac{3\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{2500} \\
& -\frac{3\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{2500 P}+\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{2 P}+a_{-1}^{(1,2)} P^{-1}+a_{0}^{(1,2)}+a_{1}^{(1,2)} P+a_{2}^{(1,2)} P^{2}  \tag{A.3}\\
& \mathcal{F}^{(2,1)}=\frac{278(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})}{375 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)}{3000 P^{3 / 2}}+\frac{1473(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})}{1000 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{979\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)}{3600 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{43(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \sqrt{P}}{4500}-\frac{157\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{P}}{14400} \\
& -\frac{1}{600}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) P^{3 / 2}+\frac{181\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) P^{3 / 2}}{18000}-\frac{1}{600}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) P^{5 / 2}+\frac{3 \sqrt{z} \tilde{T}}{4}-\frac{280(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \tilde{T}}{9 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{65\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \tilde{T}}{9 P^{3 / 2}} \\
& -\frac{211 \sqrt{z} \tilde{T}}{3 P}+\frac{5\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \tilde{T}}{18 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{341 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{1200}-\frac{65(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{9 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{3331\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{900 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{287 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{20 P} \\
& +\frac{5(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{18 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{103\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{300 \sqrt{P}}+\frac{29}{240}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{P}\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)+\frac{261 \sqrt{z} P\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)}{800}-\frac{55\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)^{2}}{9 P^{3 / 2}} \\
& +\frac{13 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{2400}-\frac{253(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{450 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{1517\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{1800 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{239 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{240 P}+\frac{29(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{225 \sqrt{P}} \\
& -\frac{419\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{3600 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{13}{720}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \sqrt{P}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)+\frac{131\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{P}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{1200}+\frac{231 \sqrt{z} P\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{1600} \\
& -\frac{13}{720}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) P^{3 / 2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)-\frac{39}{800} \sqrt{z} P^{2}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)+\frac{5\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \tilde{T}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{3 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{9 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{400} \\
& +\frac{5(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{3 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{313\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{90 P^{3 / 2}}+\frac{211 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{100 P}+\frac{151\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)}{180 \sqrt{P}} \\
& +\frac{9 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{800}+\frac{29(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{60 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{1537\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{3600 P^{3 / 2}}+\frac{299 \sqrt{z}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{800 P}-\frac{(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{15 \sqrt{P}} \\
& +\frac{761\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{1800 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{109\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right) \sqrt{P}\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{1440}-\frac{9}{400} \sqrt{z} P\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}+
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{17\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{2}}{12 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{\left({\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}_{12 P^{3 / 2}}+\frac{17\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{30 P^{3 / 2}}-\frac{3\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{3}}{20 \sqrt{P}}-\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{z}\right)\left(\tilde{T}^{z z}\right)^{4}}{8 P^{3 / 2}}\right.}{+\sqrt{z}\left(a_{-1}^{(2,1)} P^{-1}+a_{0}^{(2,1)}+a_{1}^{(2,1)} P+a_{2}^{(2,1)} P^{2}+a_{3}^{(2,1)} P^{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Ooguri-Vafa invariants

Replacing the generators by their holomorphic limits we can extract the Ooguri-Vafa 16] invariants from the partition functions. We used for that the conjectured formula in [10]. It should be noted however that in our formalism the disk invariants $n_{d}^{(0,1)}$ are extracted from $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{(0,1)}$ and the invariants $n_{d}^{(1,1)}$ are extracted from $2 \mathcal{F}^{(1,1)}$ in order to reproduce the numbers given in [10]. The clarification of these factors and a better understanding of the multicover formula remains for future work.

| $d$ | $n_{d}^{(0,1)}$ | $d$ | $n_{d}^{(0,2)}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 30 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 1530 | 4 | 26700 |
| 5 | 1088250 | 6 | 38569640 |
| 7 | 975996780 | 8 | 58369278300 |
| 9 | 1073087762700 | 10 | 93028407124632 |
| 11 | 1329027103924410 | 12 | 153664503936698600 |
| 13 | 1781966623841748930 | 14 | 260548631710304201400 |
| 15 | 2528247216911976589500 | 16 | 450589019788320352336020 |
| 17 | 3742056692258356444651980 | 18 | 791322110332876233623166320 |
| 19 | 5723452081398475208950800270 | 20 | 1406910190370608901650146628380 |
| 21 | 8986460098015260183028517362890 | 22 | 2526625340233528751485600411725000 |
| 23 | 14415044640432226873354788580437780 | 24 | 4575532116961071429530804693412171800 |
| 25 | 23538467987973866346057268850924917500 | 26 | 8344559227219651245031796423390078968320 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This problem has independently solved in

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See section two of 2] for further background material.

